IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.BASANT

THURSDAY, THE 13TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 22ND BHADRA 1929

Crl.MC.No. 2882 of 2007()

CC.422/2005 of JUDL.MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS COURT, ALATHUR
CRIME NO.152/1997 OF ALATHUR POLICE STATION

.....

PETITIONER: ACCUSED

MURALI,S/O. RAMAN,AGED 32 YEARS,
PERINJOLAM VEEDU,KODUVALLYKUNDU,
CHANTHAPADY, MANNARKKAD.

BY ADV. SRI. JOHNSON P.JOHN
SRI. BABY MATHEW

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS

---

1. STATE OF KERALA,REPRESENTED
BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,HIGH COURT OF KERALA
ERNAKULAM.

2. SUB INSEPCTOR OF POLICE,
ALATHUR POLICE STATION,
PALAKKAD DIST.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.GIKKU JACOB

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 13/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

R.BASANT, J.

Crl.M.C.No.2882 of 2007

Dated this the 13th day of September 2007

O R D E R

The petitioner faces indictment in a prosecution under the Dowry prohibition Act. Cognizance has been taken. Case has been registered. The petitioner was once enlarged on bail. Consequent to his non-appearance, coercive processes have been initiated against the petitioner. A warrant of arrest issued by the learned Magistrate is chasing him.

2. According to the petitioner, he is innocent. His absence earlier was not wilful. The petitioner is willing to surrender before the learned Magistrate and seek regular bail. But he apprehends that his application for bail may not be considered by the learned Magistrate on merits, in accordance with law and expeditiously. He, therefore, prays that directions under Section 482 Cr.P.C. may be issued to the learned Magistrate to release the petitioner on bail when he appears and applies for bail.

3. It is for the petitioner to appear before the learned Magistrate and explain to the learned Magistrate, the

circumstances under which he could not earlier appear before the learned Magistrate. I find absolutely no reason to assume that the learned Magistrate would not consider the application for bail to be filed by the petitioner on merits, in accordance with law and expeditiously. Every court must do the same. No special or specific directions appear to be necessary. Sufficient general directions have been issued in Alice George vs. Deputy Superintendent of Police [2003(1)KLT 339].

4. In the result, this Criminal Miscellaneous Case is dismissed but with the specific observation that if the petitioner surrenders before the learned Magistrate and applies for bail, after giving sufficient prior notice to the Prosecutor in charge of the case, the learned Magistrate must proceed to pass appropriate orders on merits, in accordance with law and expeditiously - on the date of surrender itself.

Hand over copy of this order to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

(R.BASANT, JUDGE)

jsr

R.BASANT, J.

CRL.M.CNo.

ORDER

21ST DAY OF MAY2007